Journal of Chromatography, 76 (1973) 133-139 © Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company, Amsterdam — Printed in The Netherlands

снком. 6430

RETENTION INDICES OF STEROIDS AND THEIR GROUP INCREMENT WITH TEMPERATURE

YOSHIO MORI AND TAKESHI SATO

Shionogi Research Laboratory, Shionogi & Co., Ltd., Fukushima-ku, Osaka 553 (Japan) (Received October 2nd, 1972)

SUMMARY

The steroid number (SN) was shown to be superior to the KovATs index for the expression of retention data for steroids because the former showed a smaller increment with temperature. SN values for 3-monosubstituted 5 α -androstanes changed linearly with column temperature. The SN increments with temperature for 3-monosubstituted 5 α -androstanes were almost the same as those for the 3,11,17trisubstituted compounds. The additivity rule of the SN increment with temperature was valid on OV-1 and OV-17, but there were some deviations on polar XE-60 and cyclohexanedimethanol succinate stationary phases. It was characteristic that the trimethylsilyl and trifluoroacetate derivatives showed large negative increments on most columns, in spite of the small negative increment for the acetyl group.

INTRODUCTION

It is accepted that the KovArs retention index¹ is a very suitable method for the expression of retention data. However, in general, this index varies with column temperature¹⁻⁶. The temperature dependence of the KovATS retention index can be decreased by using a homologous basic compound as a reference compound instead of *n*-alkanes. WOODFORD AND VAN GENT⁷ proposed the concept of carbon number and MIWA et al.⁸ that of equivalent chain-length for fatty acids; VANDEN-HEUVEL and co-workers^{9, 10} introduced the steroid number for steroids; HEBGOOD AND HARRIS¹¹ applied the phenyl number to polyphenyl compounds. Nevertheless, in spite of the use of suitable reference compounds for individual classes of compounds, the indices are still not completely independent of temperature. Other approaches have been made by VANDENHEUVEL AND COURT¹² and TAKÁCS and co-workers^{13,14}: the former introduced retention constants calculated from the relative retention times at two column temperatures, and the latter derived retention constants from KOVATS retention indices. Although such constants are independent of temperature, they have the disadvantage that interlaboratory values may show considerable errors. It would be very convenient for the identification of steroids if a new index system could be devised to give a simple and reliable correlation of index values with column temperature. Based on the additivity rule for retention indices which has been applied to the identification of compounds^{2, 9, 10, 15, 16}, this paper describes the correlation of steroid number with column temperature, and investigates the additivity of functional group increments with temperature.

EXPERIMENTAL

Retention indices were determined by using a Shimadzu Model 4APTF gas chromatograph with a flame ionization detector. The columns were 1.5 m \times 4 mm O.D. glass tubes packed with Gas-Chrom Q (100–120 mesh) coated with different stationary phases. The amounts of stationary phase coating the support were determined by Soxhlet extraction of the support after all analyses had been carried out. The steroid numbers (SN) of trisubstituted androstanes were obtained at 200°, 230°, 270° and 310° on OV-1 and OV-17 and at 235° and 250° on QF-1, XE-60 and cyclohexanedimethanol succinate (CHDMS). All of the hydroxy-steroids were of equatorial conformation. Acetate (Ac), trifluoroacetate (TFA) and trimethylsilyl ether (TMS) derivatives were prepared from the hydroxy-compounds.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3-Monosubstituted 5x-androstanes

KovATS retention indices (RI) of 3-monosubstituted 5 α -androstanes on OV-I and CHDMS are shown in Fig. I. The RI values changed linearly with column temperature on both non-polar and polar columns; the $\partial RI/\partial T$ values were I.I-I.9 and I.9-3.7 units per degree, respectively, and the order of the changes was TFA <TMS < Ac < OH < CO. Such large changes with temperature may give rise to considerable errors in interlaboratory RI values. Therefore, the steroid number (SN)concept should be superior to the RI system. The relationships between SN and column temperature on OV-I, OV-I7, QF-I, XE-60 and CHDMS are plotted in Fig. 2. On the QF-I, XE-60 and CHDMS columns, the SN values were lower at low loading than at high loading; on the OV-I column, the reverse occurred. These results are caused by the non-polarity of the surface of the silanized diatomaceous support (Gas-Chrom Q).



Fig. 1. Relationship between the Kov Λ Ts retention indices (*RI*) of 3-substituted 5 α -androstanes and column temperature. (a) On OV-1; (b) on CHDMS.

All of the compounds showed a linear relationship between SN and column temperature on each of the five columns, *i.e.*, SN = a + bt. Therefore, SN defined at two column temperatures is independent of temperature. As seen in Table I,

RETENTION INDICES OF STEROIDS



Fig. 2. Relationship between the steroid numbers (SN) of 3-substituted 52-androstanes and column temperature. (a) On OV-1; (b) on OV-17; (c) on QF-1; (d) on XE-60; (c) on OV-17; (c) on QF-1; (d) on XE-60; (c) on OV-17; (c) on QF-1; (c) on OV-17; (c) on QF-1; (c) on OV-17; (c) on QF-1; (c) OF-1; (c) OF-1; (c) OF-1; (c) OF-1; (c) OF-1; (c) OF-1; (c) OF-

Stationary phase	$\partial SN/\partial T \times 10^4$									
	со	ОН	.4 c	ŤMS	. TFA					
OV-1	9	ο	10		-47					
OV-17	11	6	-24	56	00					
QF-r	116	38	23	-58	- 7					
NE-60	104	36	12	—Ğo	58					
CHDMS	7Ś	45	. 10	89	98					

TABLE	I			
∂SN/∂T	VALUES	FOR	3-MONOSUBSTITUTED	50-ANDROSTANES

 $\partial SN/\partial T$ values are smaller than $\partial RI/\partial T$ values. Carbonyl and hydroxyl groups exhibited very small temperature dependences on OV-1 and OV-17; while the acetoxy group showed only a negligibly small change on all columns. The carbonyl group had characteristically very large positive values on QF-1, XE-60 and CHDMS columns, but the hydroxyl group showed moderate values. TMS and TFA groups gave high negative values on all of the stationary phases studied.

The use of TMS and TFA derivatives to lower the retention of a hydroxycompound is recognized in analytical work. The above results suggest that the effectiveness of these derivatives for separation of the peaks could be increased by changing the column temperature, even by raising the column temperature.

3,11,17-Trisubstituted 5x-androstanes

TABLE II

Calculation of the SN increment with temperature for 3,11,17-trisubstituted 5α -androstanes having the same substituent at all three positions was carried out on the assumption that the contributions of the substituents at each position are the same. The substituents studied were CO, OH, Ac, TFA and TMS, and the results are given in Table II. The results were almost the same as those for the monosubstituted androstanes, proving that the above assumption is valid.

Stationary phase	$\partial SN/\partial T imes$ 10 ⁴								
	со	ОН	Ac	TMS	TFA				
0V-1	17	13	25	- 32	5 1				
OV-17	12	14	-27	-52	89				
QF-1	47	36	- 4	-53	-51				
XE-60	100	64	0	- 58	-36				
CHDMS	67	47	22	-78					

 $\partial SN/\partial T$ values for 3.11.17-trisubstituted 5%-androstanes having the same substituents

Additivity of SN increments with temperature for 3,11,17-substituted 5α -androstanes The additivity of $\partial SN/\partial T$ values was studied with 3,11,17-trisubstituted 5α androstanes in which CO and/or OH, Ac, TFA or TMS groups were substituted. Five stationary phases, OV-1, OV-17, QF-1, XE-60 and CHDMS, were examined. The

RETENTION INDICES OF STEROIDS

calculation of $\partial SN/\partial T$ values for steroids with mixed substituents was carried out as follows. The difference between the observed value and the product of the number of substituents and one third of the $\partial SN/\partial T$ value for the steroid having three identical substituents was divided by the number of the substituents in the compound under consideration. The results are shown in Tables III and IV for non-polar OV-I and OV-I7, respectively. The $\partial SN/\partial T$ values for the groups are almost the same as those for the monosubstituted compounds and the additivity of $\partial SN/\partial T$ for the groups holds satisfactorily, even for TFA or TMS derivatives, which have very high volatilities. The calculated values for CO were almost constant.

TABLE III

Compound No.	Substit	Substituents in			SN Found	∂SN/	$\partial SN/\partial T imes 10^4$					
	5x-androstanc			(200°)		\overline{co}	ОН	Ac	TFA	TMS		
	3-	11-	17-			-						
t	со	co	co	24.35	52	17						
2	OH	CO	CO	24.22	-43	15	8					
3	ÓН	CO	OH	24.81	40	13	11					
4	OH	OH	OH	25.47	40		13					
5	Ac	CO	co	25.77	3	14		-32				
6	Ac	CO	Ac	27.56	- 21	28		- 19				
7	Ac	Ac	Ac	28.78	- 74			- 25				
Ś	TFA	co	co	23.65	-24	14			- 59			
9	TFA	CO	TFA	23.35		19			- 51			
10	TFA	TFA	TFA	22.26	- 154				-51			
11	TMS	co	co	25.23	7	13			-	-42		
12	TMS	co	TMS	26.83	66	— ī				-42		
13	TMS	TMS	TMS	26.65	-97					-32		

∂SN/∂T VALUES FOR 3,11,17-TRISUBSTITUTED 5%-ANDROSTANES ON OV-1

TABLE IV

OSN/OT VALUES FOR 3,11,17-TRISUBSTITUTED 50-ANDROSTANES ON OV-17

Compound	Substit	uents in		SN Found	∂SN/	$\partial SN/\partial T \times 10^4$					
No. <u>5%-a1</u> <u>3</u> -	5x-anarostane			(200°)		\overline{co}	OH	Ac	TFA	TMS	
	3-	11-	17-							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1	CO	со	со	28.66	30	12					
2	OH	co	CO	28.08	32	9	8				
3	OH	co	OH	28.59	45	18	17		•		
4	OH	OH	OH	29.26	4 I		14				
5	Ac	CO	co	29.40	-7	10		- 31			
õ	Ac	CO	Ac	30.82	- 32	21		-22			
7	Λc	Ac	Ac	31.74	<u>— </u> 80			-27			
Ś	TFA	co	co	26.14	<u> </u>	-10			- 104		
g	TFA	co	TFA	24.30	186	-8			99		
10	TFA	TFA	TFA	21.50	- 266				-89		
11	TMS	co	co	27.44	- 34	9			-	58	
12	TMS	co	\mathbf{TMS}	26.90	- 83	20				-48	
13	TMS	TMS	\mathbf{TMS}	25.64	1 5 5					-52	

The results on QF-I, which has a strong affinity for the CO group, are given in Table V. Comparing the $\partial SN/\partial T$ values of identically substituted trisubstituted derivatives with those of the monosubstituted derivatives for CO and TFA compounds, the former were considerabely lower than the latter. However, except for the diacetate (compound 7) and mono-TMS (compound II) derivatives, the additivity rule is valid on non-polar stationary phases.

On XE-60, the $\partial SN/\partial T$ values for trisubstituted 5 α -androstanes having identical substituents were the same as those for the monosubstituted compounds (Table VI). For the compounds having two CO groups, the calculated increments for the

TABLE V

∂SN/â	OT VALUES	FOR 3.11.1	7-TRISUBSTITUTED	5%-ANDROSTANES	ON QI	3-1
-------	-----------	------------	------------------	----------------	-------	-----

Compound	Substituents in			SN	Found	$\partial SN/\partial$	$\partial SN/\partial T \times IO^4$				
No.	5x-and	rostane		(235°)		co	ОН	Ac	TFA	TMS	
	3-	11-	17-								
1	со	со	со	36.77	146	49					
2	OH	co	CO	33.99	113	44	15				
3	OH	co	OH	32.78	113	41	32				
4	ОН	OH	OH	32.36	107		36				
5	Ac	co	CO	35.90	113	59	- ,	15			
6	Ac	co	Ac	36.89	33	25		-41			
7	Ac	Ac	Ac	37.30	-13	•					
8	TFA	со	CO	33.76	40	46		•	58		
9	TFA	co	TFA	32.62	- 47	55			-48		
10	TFA	TFA	TFA	31.65	-153				5 I		
11	TMS	CO	co	32.14	ō	27			•	98	
12	TMS	co	TMS	29.68	-27	79				-38	
13	TMS	TMS	TMS	25.64	- 160	12				- 53	

TABLE VI

 $\partial SN/\partial T$ values for 3.11.17-trisubstituted 5 α -androstanes on XE-60

Compound	Substit	uents in		SN	Found	$\partial SN/\partial T \times IO^4$					
100.	5 % •ana	rostanc		(235)		co	OH	Ac	TFA	TMS	
	3-	<i>II</i> -	17-								
1	со	со	со	36.83	300	100	•				
2	OH	со	CO	36.89	173	55	-27				
3	OH	co	он	36.15	220	92	60				
4	OH	OH	OH	36.47	193		64				
5	Ac	CO	co	35.77	200	100		o			
Ğ	Ac	CO	Ae	36.03	60	60		- 40			
7	Ac	Ac	Ac	36.12	0			်ဝ		,	
8	TFA	со	CO	33.04	93	65			-107		
9	TFA	co	TFA	30.83	- 13	59			- 57		
10	TFA	TFA	TFA	28.53	- 107				-36		
11	TMS	CO	co	32.29	87	73			-	-113	
12	TMS	со	TMS	29.26	- 20	ġĞ				- 60	
13	TMS	TMS	TMS	25.28	-173					- 58	

RETENTION INDICES OF STEROIDS

TABLE VII

 $\partial SN/\partial T$ values for 3.11.17-TRISUBSTITUTED 5%-ANDROSTANES ON CHDMS

Compound	Substit	uents in		SN	Found	$\partial SN/\partial T imes$ 10 ⁴				
NO,	5%-anarostane			(235~)		\overline{co}	ОН	Ac	TFA	TMS
	3-	11-	17-							
I	co	со	со	36.27	200	67				
2	OH	CO	co	36.03	166	59	32			
3	OH	co	он	37.85	127	33	30			
4	OH	OH	OH	39.32	140		47			
5	Ac	co	co	35.55	180	79		46		
6	Ac	co	Ac	35.80	100	56		17		
7	Ac	Ac	Ac	35.92	67			22		
8	TFA	CO	co	31.18	213	149			79	
9	TFA	со	TFA	27.52	40	208			14	
10	TFA	TFA	TFA	24.12	-253				84	
11	TMS	CO	CO	31.24	213	146			•	79
12	TMS	co	TMS	27.50	20	176				- 24
13	TMS	TMS	TMS	23.16	- 233	•				- 78

third substituent were very small, except for Ac. With compounds having one CO and two other substituents, the additivity rule was valid except for Ac.

On the polyester CHDMS stationary phase, the increments calculated for trisubstituted derivatives with identical substituents were the same as those for the monosubstituted compounds (Table VII). With the OH and Ac derivatives, the additivity rule held, although with some deviations. However, with the TFA and TMS derivatives, very high values for CO resulted, and higher values were assigned to TFA and TMS with increasing number of CO groups, CO values showed the reverse tendency.

REFERENCES

- 1 E. KOVATS, Helv. Chim. Acta, 41 (1958) 1915.
- 2 A. WEHLI AND E. KOVATS, Helv. Chim. Acta, 42 (1959) 2709.
- 3 L. S. ETTRE AND K. BILLEB, J. Chromatogr., 30 (1967) 1.

- 3 L. S. ETTRE AND K. BILLEB, J. Chromatogr., 30 (1967) 1.
 4 J. BRICTEUX AND G. DUYCKAERTS, J. Chromatogr., 22 (1966) 221.
 5 R. A. HIVELY AND R. E. HINTON, J. Chromatogr., 52 (1968) 203.
 6 P. G. ROBINSON AND A. L. ODELL, J. Chromatogr., 57 (1971) 11.
 7 F. P. WOODFORD AND C. M. VAN GENT, J. Lipid Res., 1 (1960) 188.
 8 T. K. MIWA, K. L. MIKOLAJEZAK, F. R. EARLE AND I. A. WOLFF, Anal. Chem., 32 (1960) 1739.
- 9 W. J. A. VANDENHEUVEL AND E. C. HORNING, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 64 (1962) 416.
- 10 R. J. HAMILTON, W. J. A. VANDENHEUVEL AND E. C. HORNING, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 70
- (1963) 679. 11 H. W. HEBGOOD AND W. E. HARRIS, in B. A. RUDENKO (Editor), Gazovaya Khromatografiya s Programmirovaniem Temperatury, Mir, Moscow, 1968, p. 193.

- 12 F. A. VANDENHEUVEL AND A. S. COURT, J. Chromatogr., 38 (1968) 439, 39 (1969) 1.
 13 J. TAKÁCS, P. RAJCSÁNYI, L. KÁPLÁR AND I. OLÁCSI, J. Chromatogr., 41 (1969) 438.
 14 J. TAKÁCS, M. ROCKENBAUER AND I. OLÁCSI, J. Chromatogr., 42 (1969) 19.
 15 E. O. HAAHTI, W. J. A. VANDENHEUVEL AND E. C. HORNING, Anal. Biochem., 2 (1961) 344. 16 M. C. EVANS, Chromatographia, 2 (1969) 397.